

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/006,651	12/10/2001	J. Paul Goll	12013/61702	2335
23838 75	590 01/11/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			MENDEZ, MANUEL A	
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3763	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/006,651	GOLL, J. PAUL				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Manuel Mendez	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in						
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-45</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. ☑ Other: <u>See the Attached document.</u>						
·	<u>.</u>	Mahuel Mendez Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3763				

Application/Control Number: 10/006,651 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

The examiner of record has carefully reviewed the comments presented in the Response received on December 14, 2004 and very respectfully disagrees with applicant's conclusions. The examiner notes for the record that in the previous Office Action a full reasoning as to the interpretation of the term "transient" was provided for applicant's review and comment. The After Final Response does not appear to take the examiner's interpretation of the term "transient" into consideration. This interpretation is very important since independent claim 19 does not disclose a specific numerical value for the pressure in question. Accordingly, the examiner invites applicant to provide the necessary explanations to rebut the examiner's interpretation in an RCE.

Concerning claims 19, 30, 44, and 45, the applicant states that the fluid is pressurized to a degree capable of piercing tissue at the fluid source. However, the specification of this application clearly demonstrates that the distal tip of the catheter is the only part in said catheter capable of "piercing tissue". Therefore, there is absolutely no support for describing the pressure at the source to be "capable of piercing tissue" since the source, as defined in the specification, is totally incapable of performing a piercing function.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel Mendez whose telephone number is 571-272-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,651

Art Unit: 3763

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manuel Mendez

Primary Patent Examiner

MM